

University of Groningen

Universal Prediction

Sterkenburg, Tom

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2018

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Sterkenburg, T. (2018). *Universal Prediction: A Philosophical Investigation*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Propositions accompanying the dissertation

UNIVERSAL PREDICTION

by

TOM F. STERKENBURG

1. Solomonoff's theory of universal prediction is an offspring of Carnap's program of inductive logic. It is particularly close to the conception of Carnap's program that Putnam challenged in his diagonal argument. (Chapter 1.)
2. The Solomonoff-Levin measure can be defined as a universal transformation of any given continuous computable measure. Equivalently, it can be defined as a Bayesian mixture with computable prior over any acceptable enumeration of all lower semi-computable measures. This equivalence can be called a representation theorem. (Chapter 2.)
3. A Bayesian mixture, including the Solomonoff-Levin definition, can be interpreted as operating under a particular inductive assumption, relative to which it can be said to be reliable; or as an aggregating method over a pool of competing prediction methods, relative to which it can be said to be optimal. (Chapter 3.)
4. The Solomonoff-Levin definition can be understood as an attempt to escape Putnam's diagonal argument. In the end, however, the Solomonoff-Levin definition fails to escape diagonalization. The Solomonoff-Levin predictor is not a universally reliable method, nor a universally optimal method. (Chapter 4.)
5. There can be no universal prediction method. (Part II.)
6. The Solomonoff-Levin definition does not lead to a justification of Occam's razor. (Chapter 5.)
7. The Solomonoff-Levin definition does not give a convincing formalization of Occam's razor. (Chapter 5.)
8. Vovk's proposed definition, a generalization of the Solomonoff-Levin definition, does not give a convincing notion of predictive complexity. (Chapter 6.)
9. Algorithmic information theory falls short of giving a notion of intrinsic complexity in the context of prediction. (Part III.)
10. Negative results represent progress, too.